Is there a Role for Evidence in the Future of K-16 Technology?

Joan Herman

CRESST Conference 2015

Redondo Beach, CA August 19, 2015

> National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, & Student Testing



UCLA | Graduate School of Education & Information Studies | cresst.org

ANSWER

- YES!
- Endless possibilities
- I'll talk about three







Evidence in Coherent Systems: Data-Based Decision-Making





Rich, Accessible Data for Analysis and Use for Personalization









National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, & Student Testing

6/17

But: Meaningfulness of Data and Effectiveness of Use





Use of Evidence in Systematic Development



Learning Progressions



Assessment-Informed Development and Learning



End of Program/Game Success



Systematic Development - But Toward What Outcomes?





Evidence of Effectiveness

- Domestic sales of computer and video games
 - ✓ \$11.7 billon in 2008
 - ✓ \$15.4 billion in 2013

(Entertainment Software Association)

- 72% of US teens play video games (Pew Research Center)
- SRI's meta-analysis of K-16 digital games for learning (Clark, Tanner-Smith & Killingworth (2014)
 - ✓ Journal studies 2000-2012
 - ✓ 69 published studies across all subject areas and learning objectives (cognitive, intra-, inter-personal)



Rigor of Studies

- Minimum requirements
 - ✓ Experimental or quasi-experimental design
 - ✓ Pre-post testing
 - \checkmark Treatment and control conditions described, etc
- Challenge points
 - ✓ Small sample sizes and diversity
 - ✓ Unit of analysis flaws
 - ✓ Game treatment sometimes confounded with curriculum
 - ✓ Little serious attention to retention/transfer

✓ Generally mundane outcome measures
12 / 17 National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, & Student Testing



Some Meta-Analysis Results

Game versus non-game: .33 effect size (n=57 studies)

✓ *Observed effect lower in randomized experimental*

• Theoretically augmented versus standard: .37 effect size (n=20 studies)



Variables Influencing Success: Other Hypothesis Testing

- Collaborative vs. individual; w/wo competition
- Time
- Sophistication of game mechanics
- Visual and narrative game characteristics



Bottom Line

- Research base is meager
- Influence of learning and game mechanic variables murky
- Important variables remain unexamined
 - ✓ 72% of teens play video games, 84% boys
 - ✓ 83% African Americans
 - ✓ Important potential gender and student demographic effects



Getting to Greater Effectiveness

- Target deeper learning goals
- Effective measures of deeper learning
- Big data and analysis techniques for hypothesis generation
- Rigorous design and measurement for hypothesis testing





National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, & Student Testing

UCLA | Graduate School of Education & Information Studies

VISIT US ON THE WEB

cresst.org