DIFFERENTIATED SCHOOL NORMS

William J. Doherty

CSE Report No. 77 April 1972

Evaluation Technologies Program Center for the Study of Evaluation UCLA Graduate School of Education Los Angeles, California

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Pag</u>	<u>e</u>
DIFFE	RENTIATED SCHOOL NORMS	
Re Co	ding the School Variables	
DEVEL	OPMENT OF SCHOOL NORM ESTIMATIONS	
UTILI	ZATION OF DIFFERENTIATED SCHOOL NORMS IN THE KIT	
SUMMA	RY	
REFER	ENCES	
TABLE	S .	
1.	Number of School Units Analyzed at each Grade Level 19	
2.	Description of Original Variables Before Coding 20	
3.	List of Final Variables Resulting from Coding Process 22	
4.	Correlation Matrix of Questions #5 and #7 Responses with SCAT Total Score	
5.	Correlation Matrix of Questions #14 and #18 Responses with SCAT Total Score	
6.	Codes for Regroups of the United States and Field Test Sample	
7.	Correlation Matrix of 19 Final Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Three	
8.	Correlation Matrix of 19 Final Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Four	
9.	Correlation Matrix of 19 Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Five	
10.	Correlation Matrix of 19 Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Six	
11.	Regression Weights and Proportion of Variance for Final	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

								Page
12.	Correction Factors to Add (+) or Subtract (-) to Obtain Differential School Norms		•			•		31-32
13.	Individual and School Means and Standard Deviations for the SCAT	•				•		33
14.	Individual and School Means and Estimated School Standard Deviations for CTBS	•	•	•	•	•	•	34
15.	Differences in CTB and ETS Percentile Corrections for Each Profile		•			•		35-37
16.	Estimated Deviation Ratios for Four Grade Levels and Forty-One Goal Areas							38
17.	School Norm Estimated from Percentile Mean Pupil Score and Deviation Ratio for Goal Area							39-40
18.	Questions for Determining Your Differentiated Profile	•	•	•			•	41
APPEN.	DIX A							
Sch	ool Characteristics Questionnaire		•	•		•		42
APPEN.	DIX B							
	ing of the School Characteristics Questionnaire for							43

A great deal of pressure has recently been placed on the American educational system. The economic situation of the country and the recent social upheavals have been two major sources of this pressure. Now, there is more interest in studying the differences in educational achievement produced by such factors as race and social class. Also, because of the difficulties in balancing school budgets, there is a greater demand for evaluations of school programs, with regard to their success or failure.

The educatoral decision makers faced with this pressure are responding to it in various ways. Many are trying to find better ways to determine the needs of their schools and students. Also, they are placing greater emphasis and importance on the use of standardized tests to measure educational achievement. The Center for the Study of Evaluation has attempted to assist decision makers with regard to these two areas. To enable the principal or other decision maker to adequately determine the educational needs of his school, the CSE Elementary School Evaluation KIT: Needs Assessment (Hoepfner, et al., 1970) was developed. To assist the educator with the selection and evaluation of standardized tests, the CSE Elementary School Test Evaluations (Hoepfner, et al., 1970) was made available.

The <u>KIT</u> takes the decision maker through a step-by-step process which results in a priority ordering of 106 different educational goals as seen by the parents and teachers of his school. The KIT also assists the educator in selecting tests to measure the goal areas which have been chosen as most important. In the last part of the KIT, the educator is given procedures which enable him to determine the utility of implementing new programs in the goal areas.

Implicit with the use of the KIT is the use of one or more appropriate standardized tests. One of the most important steps in using such a test is the interpretation of the results which it yields. With a norm-referenced test, this step usually entails a comparison of the raw score obtained by the individual with a table of scores supplied by the test publisher. This table of scores

is referred to as a 'norm table." Essentially this table allows for comparison of the scores from the specific individuals at hand with the scores received by a sample of people (the normative sample) which was selected on some particular criteria. The sample is characteristically chosen so as to be a nationwide sample, often balanced on specific aspects such as age, grade or region. To aid in this comparison of scores the original raw score is converted to a more easily interpretable score such as a percentile score or a grade-equivalent score.

While this whole procedure is rather simple and straightforward, there are several assumptions which could hamper interpretation of scores. The first of these assumptions is that the normative sample is really representative and that the results from such a sample hold equally well for all individuals. This assumption will be reasonable in the majority of cases, but would hardly be defensible if the individuals who were tested differed greatly from the normative sample with respect to such variables as race or socio-economic status. The second assumption is that one will only want to interpret individuals' results. As mentioned previously, there is now a greater emphasis being placed on evaluation of programs, not just the individual students within them. This type of evaluation requires norm tables where the normative sample is not composed of individuals but rather of schools or classrooms. It is interesting to note that of the over 1600 different scales rated in the CSE Elementary School Test Evaluations (Hoepfner, et al., 1970), only three tests supplied norm tables for schools as well as individuals. What is a principal or other educator to do if he wishes to evaluate programs within his school and finds he must use a standardized test with only pupil norms? How is he to interpret the results of such a test if his school differs widely from those used to create the norm table?

The rest of this paper will describe an attempt to solve both of these problems. The solution to the second problem will be treated under the title of differentiated school norms. After a discussion of these norms and how they were arrived at, a procedure for converting pupil norms to school norms will be presented. Lastly, an example of how differentiated school norms can be utilized within the framework of the Elementary School Evaluation KIT: Needs Assessment will be presented.

Differentiated School Norms

While the notion of norms which would take into account the effects of various demographic variables such as socioeconomic class, racial-ethnic composition, or geographic region seems highly worthwhile, the method for creation of such norms has been mere speculation. What course was to be taken in arriving at the desired end? The logical first step seemed to be to determine the effects of various demographic variables upon achievement as measured by a standardized test. The method of analysis chosen to accomplish this step was stepwise multiple regression. The use of stepwise multiple regression would allow for the estimation of importance of the various demographic variables with regard to achievement as well as to allow for selection of a subset of variables which were the most important. Once this approach to the creation of the norms was decided upon, all that remained was to gather data regarding the demographic variables and achievement.

The gathering of the demographic data was accomplished by use of a questionnaire developed at the Center of the Study of Evaluation (CSE). The

The term differentiated school norms is a result of a discussion between Ralph Hoepfner, who directed the development of the Needs Assessment, and Dr. Norman Fredrickson of Educational Testing Service.

School Characteristics Questionnaire (SCQ), consisted of eighteen questions which examined different aspects of the school, its staff, and its students. (The final form of the SCQ appears as Appendix A.) Many of these variables are similar to those used by others who have researched schools' characteristics and achievement, such as Coleman, et al., (1966) and Project Talent (Flanagan et al., 1962). Other variables, however, are more specific to the purpose of the KIT developed by CSE. While the questionnaire provided valuable descriptive data, the ultimate determinate of important variables for differentiating achievement norms was their relation to test performance.

The choice of which achievement test to use for creation of the differentiated norms was affected by availability. The Educational Testing Service (ETS) was at the time conducting a re-norming of their School and College Ability Tests (SCAT) for grades 3-8 on a nationwide basis. ETS agreed to provide CSE with the achievement data gathered from this new data as well as to request that all participating schools fill out and return the SCQ.² Test score data were kept confidential by ETS in that no school names or any other specific identifying information was released by CSE.

Upon receipt of these data the responses to the questionnaire were coded and punched onto IRM cards. The coding procedure for the original responses can be found in Appendix B. In addition, for each classroom from a school, the average test scores on the SCAT were coded and punched. Usually, there was only one classroom from a school at a specific grade level. These test achievement cards were then collated with the appropriate demographic

² Special thanks are extended to Dr. John Biancini of the Educational Testing Service in Berkeley for his cooperation with CSE.

School Characteristics Questionnaire (SCQ), consisted of eighteen questions which examined different aspects of the school, its staff, and its students. (The final form of the SCQ appears as Appendix A.) Many of these variables are similar to those used by others who have researched schools' characteristics and achievement, such as Coleman, et al., (1966) and Project Talent (Flanagan et al., 1962). Other variables, however, are more specific to the purpose of the KIT developed by CSE. While the questionnaire provided valuable descriptive data, the ultimate determinate of important variables for differentiating achievement norms was their relation to test performance.

The choice of which achievement test to use for creation of the differentiated norms was affected by availability. The Educational Testing Service (ETS) was at the time conducting a re-norming of their School and College Ability Tests (SCAT) for grades 3-8 on a nationwide basis. ETS agreed to provide CSE with the achievement data gathered from this new data as well as to request that all participating schools fill out and return the SCQ.² Test score data were kept confidential by ETS in that no school names or any other specific identifying information was released by CSE.

Upon receipt of these data the responses to the questionnaire were coded and punched onto IRM cards. The coding procedure for the original responses can be found in Appendix B. In addition, for each classroom from a school, the average test scores on the SCAT were coded and punched. Usually, there was only one classroom from a school at a specific grade level. These test achievement cards were then collated with the appropriate demographic

² Special thanks are extended to Dr. John Biancini of the Educational Testing Service in Berkeley for his cooperation with CSE.

variable cards to provide the data for the stepwise multiple regression analysis. (See Table 1 for a list of the number of cases per grade.)

Before the regression analysis was undertaken, a number of decisions were formulated which were to guide the rest of the analysis procedure. First, it was felt that the combination of demographic variables which were selected by the regression analysis should result in distinct and independent school types. The decision was made to use only dichotomous demographic variables as independent variables, even though this would probably result in a lower multiple correlation coefficient. Second, the minimum number of variables that would account for an optimal amount of score variation would be chosen as the most concise set of variables. Having fewer retained independent variables would keep the school types to a minimum. Lastly, it was decided that the dichotomization points for the independent variables, arrived at for one grade level, should be kept the same for all grade levels under consideration. The level specifically examined was grade three.

Coding the School Variables

The process of arriving at the dichotomous variables to be used in the final stepwise multiple regression was itself an employment of regression analysis. Question 5, 7, 14, and 18 from the SCQ were such that there were several categories of possible response to each question. Initially, each of these individual responses was treated as a separate variable for the preliminary analysis. This approach resulted in 46 independent variables to be used in the first multiple regression. A list of the initial variables can be found in Table 2.

From a statistical standpoint, the best possible point at which to dichotomize a continuous variable is at the median. This guideline was

followed as closely as possible. Therefore the coding for several questions was rather simple; it was at a point as near as possible to the median. This strategy was employed for questions 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, and 17. In some cases two values resulted in approximately the same proportions. The dichotomies resulting from these points were all correlated with the dependent variables and the decisions to adopt any of them were based on these zero-order correlations and upon the ease and reasonableness with which each dichotomy could be obtained. The various dichotomization values can be found in Table 3.

The dichotomization of questions 5, 7, 14, 18 and of the Geographic Region variable was a more complicated problem. The complication arose from the nature of these questions. As was mentioned previously there were several possible categories of response to each of these questions and initially each category was treated as a separate variable. However, it was felt that these various categories should be combined in some optimal way to represent the data sought by the original question. Separate regression analyses using only these variables from questions 5, 7, 14, 18 and Geographic Region were computed. These regressions used the SCAT math subtotal, SCAT verbal sub-total, and SCAT total as dependent variables. Examination of the correlations and b-weights for these separate analyses led to possible coding schemes for each of the questions. These regressions are reported in Tables 4 and 5.

For question 5, three possible schemes were derived. They were:

Variable #	Code 1	Code 2	Code 3
6	0	0	1
7	2	1	2
8	1	0	1
9	1	0	1
10	2	1	2
11	1	1	2
$\overline{12}$	0	0	0

These separate codings were regressed on the dependent variables. The results indicated that code 1 was slightly better than code 2 in terms of correlation with the dependent variable. However, the decision was made to adopt code 2 since it was a simpler procedure and made more empirical sense. These variables did not need to be dichotomized since it was possible for a school to check only one of the various categories.

Question 7 was also examined with respect to a regression on the dependent variables. The code which resulted was:

Variable #	Code
14	0
15	2
16	0
17	0
18	2
19	1
20	0

This code was then applied to the responses of each school and the sum was computed. The sum was then dichotomized to align it with the other variables. The particular procedure which was tried was that sums of 2, 3, 4, and 5 received a 1 and sums of 0 and 1 received a zero. Comparison of the zero-order correlations of this dichotomy with those of the original variables of this question (see Table 4) showed that the correlations of variable 18 were higher. Therefore it was decided that the response to variable 18 would be allowed to represent this whole question, and no further dichotomization was needed.

The results (Table 5) from the separate analysis of question 14 showed a strong positive correlation between variables 27 and 28 with dependent variables, while variables 29 and 30 had negative correlations with the dependent variables. The resulting code was:

Variable #	Code
27	1
28	1
29	0
30	0

Several dichotomization points based on a bivariate plot of variable 27 with variable 28 were tried. The final dichotomization of 30% or more receiving a value of 1 was based on this variable's correlation with the dependent variables.

The coding for question 18 followed a similar course. The initial correlations (Table 5) showed that only variables 37, 38, 41, 42 and 43 had consistent positive correlations with the dependent variable. Two possible codes were tried for this question:

Variable #	Code #1	Code #2
34	0	0
3 5	0	0
3 6	0	-1
3 7	1	0
38	1	0
39	0	0
40	0	0
41	1	1
42	1	0
43	1	0
44	0	0
45	0	0

The results of the correlational analysis of these codings resulted in the second code being adopted since it resulted in a fairly strong correlation with the dependent variables.

The last variable to be coded was that of Geographic Region. This variable initially consisted of the zip codes for each school, following a procedure established by Science Research Association (SRA) in their national standardization of the SRA Assessment Survey (1971). As can be seen in Table 6, this resulted in dividing the country into nine regions on the basis of zip code. These nine regions were coded 1-9 and correlated

with the dependent variables. On the basis of these correlations a dichotomy was made such that regions 1, 2, 3, and 4 received a code of 1 and the remaining regions received a code of 0.

A summary of the entire coding process can be found in Table 3 which shows the number of final variables, along with a description of which original variables compose it, and the dichotomy point. These final variables were used as independent variables in the stepwise multiple regression.

Results of the Multiple Regression

Utilizing the coding process described above resulted in 19 dichotomous variables. These variables were used as predictor variables with the SCAT total battery scores at each grade level (3, 4, 5, and 6). Tables 7, 8, 9, and 10 present the zero-order correlation matrices supporting the stepwise multiple regression analysis.

The order in which variables entered the regression equation was remarkably consistent over the four grade levels. Table 11 contains the summary data for all these analyses along with the order in which the variables entered the equation. One of the primary reasons for doing this stepwise regression was to select a smaller subset of important predictor variables. It was felt that the final group of demographic variables should be such that they had contributed significantly to the regression analysis in at least two of the four separate analyses. This left six variables which were:

- 1. Geographic Region (variable 1)
- 2. Percentage of students who no longer attend (variable 3)

- 3. Teacher's approval required for new program (variable 8)
- 4. Percentage of students who are white (variable 10)
- 5. Percentage of students who speak a second language (variable 14)
- Total percentage of professional and white collar parents (variable 15)

Of these six the last accounts by far for the most variance, while variable 10 and variable 1 contribute relatively strongly. (See Table 11 for these results).

These six variables gave us the final set of demographic variables with which a school could be described that were significantly related to achievement. Four new multiple regression equations, one at each grade level, were computed using only these six variables as independent variables.

Computing the Profiles

Using the dichotomous values of the six variables, 64 possible profile types were created. In order to derive the differentiated norms, it was necessary to obtain an achievement score for each profile type. This was done by employing the regression equations which were derived from the last regression analysis. The actual equations can be found in Table 11. Applying the regression weights and the addition constant to the binary values constituting the profiles gave predicted scores for each profile type. This value represented the average value expected for schools within that profile, even though for some profiles there were no schools present in the actual data and in some cases only a few schools present. The results of this regression, the predicted mean score for each profile, can be found in Table 12.

It will be recalled that the aim of the study was not just to find a set of demographic variables with which to classify a school, but to provide a means of adjusting the published norms on the basis of these variables. To accomplish this, it was necessary to find the distance between the predicted mean of the profiles and the mean of the population. This distance could then be converted to an area under the normal curve so that it could be applied to results from any standardized test.

In Table 12, each profile is shown with its predicted value; in the next column can be found this value's Z-score equivalent (found by using the mean of the entire norming sample and the between schools standard deviation, found in Table 13). By using this Z-score, it was possible to find the difference in terms of percentiles between the predicted mean of the profile and the mean of the norming group. It is this difference which should be employed to realign a school's test results with those of the published norms. The particular correction values for each profile type can be found in the last column of Table 12.

A Partial Validation

Even though the above system seems sound in theory, it should be noted that the peculiarities of the norming sample influence our corrections for differentiation in several ways. The most crucial of these is the effect of subtracting the mean of the norming group as if it were the population mean. Because of this weakness and the fear that the obtained correction factors might be specific to the particular group of schools and the achievement test used, a validation analysis was undertaken.

The data for this validation analysis was supplied by the CTB/McGraw-Hill. 3 As with the data from ETS, achievement scores from a CTB standardized

³Special thanks are extended to Dr. Donald Green, Director of Research, CTB/McGraw-Hill, for his cooperation in supplying this data.

that was used in this case was the Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills (CTBS). Although CTB/McGraw-Hill had sent the SCQ out to all their participating schools, there was only a small return, so that the final sample size at each grade level was fairly small. However it seemed that there was enough data to supply at least a partial validation of the previously determined correction factors for the 64 profiles.

The procedure which was followed in this case was first to code the results from each school in terms of profile type to which they belonged. Then their achievement mean scores were turned into Z-scores by using the published mean scores and the between schools standard deviations. The actual values used can be found in Table 14. After this had been done for all the schools at all the grade levels, the average Z-score value was found for each profile at the various grades. With this average Z-score value, the difference from the population mean in terms of percentiles could be found for each profile. These percentile values were then compared with the percentile values obtained from ETS data. Table 15 reports the differences between these two percentile values.

Although there are some rather large discrepancies present here, these usually occurred where there were only a few cases present in that profile. On the whole, there seems to be a fairly good correspondence on the size of percentile corrections at the various profiles between the two sets of data. It should be realized that these data are still weak and do not supply a good test of the original findings or procedure. Hopefully, in the not too distant future, more reliable data will be available for this purpose.

Development of School Norm Estimations

As was mentioned previously, CSE was interested not only in the problem of developing differentiated norms but also in developing a procedure for converting the individual student norms supplied by most test publishers to school norms. These norms are essential for evaluating programs and are necessary for proper use of the differentiated norms just discussed.

The primary difference between school norms and student norms is in terms of variability. The scores from individual students will be much more variable than the scores from schools or even classroom scores. Therefore, if one could get a fairly reliable estimate of the ratio of the variability of student scores to school scores, one could then correct individual norms to norms which would be more appropriate for use with school scores. This scheme was pursued by CSE and the results can be found in Table 16.

The values of Table 16 were determined by successive averaging of ratios supplied in the technical manuals of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Cooperative School and College Ability Tests, and Cooperative Sequential Test of Educational Progress. These ratios were determined not only for the various grade levels but also for the goal areas which are employed by CSE Elementary School Evaluation KIT: Needs Assessment. With these ratios it is now possible to get a reasonable estimate of one's school percentile score while having only the norm table for individuals supplied by the test manual. (The actual procedure for this will be more fully explained below.)

Utilization of Differentiated School Norms in the KIT

It was mentioned previously that the <u>Elementary School Evaluation KIT:</u>

Needs Assessment provides a step-by-step procedure for the educational decision maker that would result in a priority ordering of 106 different educational goals. These goals having been ordered, the <u>KIT</u> then assists in the selection of tests to be employed as measures of achievement in the most important goal areas. Following collection of the test results, the <u>KIT</u> then assists the educator in interpreting these test results so a decision can be made regarding the success of programs in the goal areas. It is at this point that the differentiated norms and estimated school norms are utilized with the <u>KIT</u>.

The procedure that is followed is, first, to estimate the school normed score from the individual normed score, and then to apply the appropriate differentiated correction to this value. The procedure is presented step-by-step below:

[Start with step 1 if your test manual provides student norms; start with step 5 if your test manual provides school or classroom norms]:

- Compute the mean (average) score for your school, grade, or classroom to be evaluated. This is the mean raw score for the test chosen to assess the goal area.
- 2. Momentarily, pretend this mean is a pupil's raw score, and, through use of the appropriate published pupil norm table (in the test manual), determine the corresponding percentile score. (This percentile score will usually be near to the 50th percentile, an error of underestimation from the average.) We can call this the School Percentile Score.
- 3. Obtain the <u>Deviation Ratio</u> for the goal area and grade level of the test under consideration from Table 16. This value is an estimate of the ratio of the standard deviation based upon pupil raw scores. It will change your standing to a percentile farther away from the 50th percentile; a correction for the school mean.

- 4. Enter the row of Table 17 that corresponds (most closely) to the School Percentile Score obtained in step 2 and enter the column that corresponds to the Deviation Ratio obtained in step 3. Where the row and column intersect, the estimated value of the school's or classroom's percentile score can be found. This is an estimation of your classroom's or school's standing on a school norm. It is called the School Norm.
- 5. Determine the <u>Differentiated Profile</u> to which your school belongs by completing the six questions in Table 18.
- 6. Using your school's <u>Differentiated Profile</u>, enter Table 12 to find the correction factor. Add or subtract (according to the sign in the table) this factor to your <u>School Norm</u> as found in Step 4 (or as determined in school norms provided in the test manual).

The resulting percentile score is your differentiated school norm score. This score takes into account the fact that the score is from a school and not an individual and also accounts for the type of school involved. For the purposes of the <u>KIT</u>, this score reflects as closely as possible the true performance of the school in a particular goal area.

Now let's look at a fictitious example of the implemented procedure as described in Booklet IV of the Needs Assessment KIT.

Mr. Knox, principal of Simon Bolivar Elementary School in the "barrio" of Los Angeles, has just administered the Reading-Word Knowledge scale (Goal area 30A, Recognition of Word Meanings) of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich) to his two third-grade classrooms. Since the District provides machine scoring services to the schools, Mr. Knox did not have to compute the mean score for the 67 children; the mean raw score was reported to him by the district to be 11.971 [STEP 1]. Knox rounded this score to 12.0, which he converted to a standard score (a conversion system unique to the Metropolitan) of 35. This, in turn, he converted to a centile score of 30 [STEP 2].

Referring to Table 1, under grade 3 and goal area 30 (of which 30A is a sub-goal), Knox found the deviation ratio to be .46 [STEP 3]. In order to obtain his school norm score (an estimate of the centile placement of a raw score of 12 on a school mean score distribution) he then went to Table 2 and found the intersection of the row "30 percentile" and column ".46 deviation ratio" to be 13 [STEP 4]. This means that Knox's school mean score,

^{*}Table 1 in this example refers to Table 16 of this report, Table 2 refers to Table 17, Table 3 refers to Table 18, and Table 4 refers to Table 12.

in comparison to other school mean scores, is very low. But Knox still has to take into account his differentiated type of school.

Knox then filled out his school's differentiated profile (Table 3) and found it to be 000101 [STEP 5]. In Table 4 he found the third-grade correction factor for his differentiated profile to be +22. He then added this factor to his school percentile score of 13 [STEP 6]; the result being 35. With a differentiated school norm score at the 35 percentile, Knox confidently concluded that his students and school were not achieving very well in the reading skill of recognizing word meanings.

Summary

The problem of evaluating the progress of a school and its programs has been recently the topic of a great deal of research and discussion. The Center for the Study of Evaluation has confronted this problem and produced the <u>CSE Elementary School Evaluation KIT: Needs Assessment</u> as a partial solution. Within the framework of the <u>KIT</u>, the need for school norms and for accounting for various demographic differences between schools arose.

By using a multiple regression approach, six demographic variables were singled out as being important predictors of achievement on a standardized test (the SCAT). Using these variables to create 64 school types, percentile correction factors were determined by once again using the regression technique.

The problem of converting a school score to a school percentile when one only had a norm table for individuals was also studied. A procedure for converting a percentile score from an individual's norm table to a school percentile was outlined. This procedure was based on successive averages of the ratios of variability between school scores and individual scores as reported in the technical manual of these tests.

Lastly, it was pointed out that both of these procedures can aid the educational decision maker, as in the <u>Elementary School Evaluation</u> KIT: Needs Assessment.

References

- Coleman, J. S., et al. Equality of education opportunity. Washington; U.S. Government Printing Office, 1966.
- Flanagan, J. C., Dailey, J. T., Shaycoft, M. F., Orr, D. B., and Goldbert, I. Studies of the American high school. (Final report to the U.S. Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project No. 226.) Washington, D. C.; Project TALENT Office, University of Pittsburgh, 1962.
- Hoepfner, R., Klein, S., Bradley, P. A., and Alkin, M. C. <u>Elementary</u> school evaluation KIT: Needs assessment. Los Angeles: Center for the Study of Evaluation, 1970.
- Hoepfner, R., Strickland, G., Stangel, G., Jansen, P. and Patalino, M. <u>CSE elementary school test evaluations</u>. Los Angeles: Center for the Study of Evaluation, 1970.
- Science Research Associates. The national standardization of the SRA assessment survey. Chicago: Author, Spring 1971.

Table 1

Number of School Units Analyzed at Each Grade Level

Grade	Number of Units
3	217
4	215
5	211
6	211

Table 2

Description of Original Variables Before Coding

Variable #	Description		
1	Geographic Region - Zip Code of Scho	01	
2	Number of students in Particular Gra	ıde	
3	Response to Question 2 of SCQ		
4	Response to Question 3 of SCQ		
5	Response to Question 4 of SCQ		
6	Response to 5 a		
7	Response to 5 b		
8	Response to 5 c		
9	Response to 5 d		
10	Response to 5 e		
11	Response to 5 f		
12	Response to 5 g		
13	Response to Question 6		
14	Response to Board of Education	-	Question 7
15	Response to Superintendent	-	Question 7
16	Response to District Administrator	-	Question 7
17	Response to Parents	-	Question 7
18	Response to Teachers	-	Question 7
19	Response to No Formal	-	Question 7
20	Total Number checked on Question 7		
21	Response to Question 8		
22	Response to Question 9		
23	Response to Question 10		

Table 2 (Continued)

Variable #	Description		
24	Response to Question 11		
25	Response to Question 12		
26	Response to Question 13		
27	Percentage Professional Managers	-	Question 14
28	Percentage White Collar	-	Question 14
29	Percentage Skilled Worker	-	Question 14
30	Percentage Unskilled Worker	-	Question 14
31	Response to Question 15		
32	Response to Question 16		
33	Response to Question 17		
34	Response to Guidance Counselor	-	Question 18
35	Response to Psychologist	-	Question 18
36	Response to Child Welfare	-	Question 18
37	Response to Nurse	-	Question 18
38	Response to Speech Therapist	-	Question 18
39	Response to Remedial Reading	-	Question 18
40	Response to English-Second-Language	-	Question 18
41	Response to Art Teacher	_	Question 18
42	Response to Music Teacher	-	Question 18
43	Response to Sex Education	-	Question 18
44	Response to Librarian	_	Question 18
45	Response to Teacher Aides	-	Question 18
46	Total Number of Hours in Question 18		

Table 3

List of Final Variables Resulting from Coding Process

Final Variable #	Description	Dichotomization Point
1	Geographic region - Coded 1-9	≤ 4=1
2	Number of students in a grade	≤80=1
3	Percentage of students who no longer attended	≤ 4=1
4	Age of main classroom building	<u>≤</u> 20=1
5	Percentage of families represented at PTA meeting	≥20=1
6	Neighborhood served by school	1=1
7	Percentage of students whose mother works	<33 1/3=1
8	Teacher's approval required for new program	1=1
9	Copyright date of 3rd grade reader	≥66=1
10	Percentage of students who are white	≥90=1
11	Starting annual salary of teachers	≥60=1
12	Percentage of 1st graders who went to Kindergarten	≥85=1
13	Percentage of students who have only 1 parent	≤10=1
14	Percentage of students who speak 2nd language	≤ 2=1
15	Total percentage of professional and white collar workers	≥30=1
16	Number of catalogued volumes in library	≥50=1
17	Average experience of full-time teacher	≤10=1
18	Average salary of teaching staff	≥80=1
19	Difference in hours between Child Welfare Officer and Art Teacher	≥ 7=1

Table 4

Correlation Matrix of Question #5 Responses with SCAT Total Score

Variable #	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	49
6	1.000	273	070	.025	171	-,219	105	129
7		1.000	102	211	338	294	153	.236
8			1.000	049	079	074	035	040
9				1.000	163	154	074	099
10					1.000	247	118	.070
11						1.000	112	058
12							1.000	129
49								1.000

Correlation Matrix of Question #7 Responses with SCAT Total Score

Variable #	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	49
14	1.000	.346	041	.161	.135	298	.629	018
15		1.000	083	.086	.100	503	. 543	.056
16			1.000	.113	.164	140	.447	053
17				1.000	. 450	037	. 539	.057
18					1.000	088	.617	.201
19						1.000	413	.029
20							1.000	.074
49								1.000

Table 5

Correlation Matrix of Question #14 Responses with SCAT Total Score

Variable #	27	28	29	30	49
27	1.000	.201	573	418	.378
28		1.000	417	426	.318
29			1.000	122	304
30				1.000	326
49					1.000

Correlation Matrix of Question #18 Responses with SCAT Total Score

Variable #	ŧ	34	35	36	37	38	3 9	40	41	42	43	44	45	49
34		1.000	.068	.097	.170	.077	.025	.122	.023	.127	.241	.241	.161	063
35			1.000	.119	008	.190	.178	016	.288	.277	032	.098	.016	002
36				1.000	.119	.089	037	003	.143	.138	053	.140	.142	165
37					1.000	.225	.168	002	.332	.276	.002	.191	.089	.039
38						1.000	.171	022	.278	.415	.038	.142	.278	.010
39							1.000	013	.143	.208	120	.248	.125	062
40								1.000	.074	.128	.434	.159	.234	027
41									1.000	.659	.176	.228	.305	.150
42										1.000	.127	.269	.252	.040
43											1.000	.016	.373	.027
44												1.000	.239	063
													1.000	027
45														1.000
49														

Table 6

Codes for Regroups of the United States and Field Test Sample

Regions	First Three Digits of Zip Code	Code
New England Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut	010-069	1
Middle Atlantic New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania	070-196	2
East North Central Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin	430-499, 530-549, 600-629	3
West North Central Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas	500-528, 550-588, 630-693	4
South Atlantic Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida	197-339	5
East South Central Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi	350-427	6
West South Central Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas	700-799	7
Mountain Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada	590-599, 800-898	8
Pacific Washington, Oregon, California, Alaska, Hawaii	900-999	9

Correlation Matrix of 19 Final Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Three

Va	Var.# 1				4	5	9	7	6 7 8	6	10		12	13		15	16	. 17		18	(S)	(SCAT)
	1 1.000	00 .021	.255		. 980.	.145	.070	112	040			3 .167			2011			670				231
·	2	1,000	.037		.053 -	-,115	196	059	053	.032	2083	3 .157	960 7	900° 9	670. 9	200 - 6	760. 70	97155), 960,	- 900	029
	2		1.000)•- 0(990*-	- 200.	145	041	020	037	7 ,130	0 .082	2 .029	9157	7 .139	9 -,001	.028		071 .0	.026	.105	.147
	4). H	1.000	.033130	.130	.205	.063	650.	9042	2144	4161	1 .023	3 .053	.3091	31045		.188(083	.002	.021
	5				1	1,000	.163	.002	.035	033	3 .054	4069	080 6	0084	34 .065	5 .275		.049025		182	- 086	.206
	9					r-7	1,000	031	.053	080* 9	0 .102	2 .077	7 .122	2100	050.00	.374		.1131). 291.	. 050	.185	.245
	7							1,000	030	.059	9175	5166	5168	8 .326	26082	32084	84005		.101.	186	- 100 -	-,109
	∞								1,000	.030	0 .121	1 .107	7 .063	3052	52 .140	1117	17057	57012		.029 -	135	.201
	6									1,000	0142	2 .005	5116	020.9	50 092	. 044		.161087		003	- 900.	.045
26	10										1,000	0 .166	6 .134	4222	22 .106	907 90	1	.012 .0	.037	.065	.063	.270
	11											1,000	0 .187	7 .088	38 .077	77 .149		.1620	.047	.517	.149	.145
	12												1,000	0087	87041	11 .187		.012215		.277	.247	.233
	13		٠											1.000	00152	52106		003 .0	. 090.	.070	.226 -	227
	14														1.000	30 005		.134 .0	. 020	.031	.057	.100
	15															1,000		.2221	.105	.128	.195	.436
	16																1.000		076	.138	.151	.161
	17																	1.0	1.000	057	143 -	080
	18																		+	1.000	.185	.031
	19																			i.	1.000	.249
	20																				, - 	1,000

Table 8

Correlation Matrix of 19 Final Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Four

3 4 5 6 7 8 .274 .135136 .0790830441	5 6 7 8136 .079083044 -	6 7 8 .079083044 -	7 8083044 -	8044 -	1	.*	9.160	10	11.	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	(S) 19 .448	(SCAT) 20
.013 .032070	.032070)70		.205	107 -	055	.023	104	- 200	071	.004	013	.072	.237 -	.117	.100	.089	.093
1.000006 .021)2		113	027 -	046	003	.179	.044	- 090	191	.126 -	056	001	.057	.010	.111	.152
1.000021)2		121	.150	900.	.021	121	157 -	127	.016	- 200.	085	078	.141	076	.040	086
1.000	1.00	\simeq		.172	028	.011	.011	.055	138 -	037 -	088	990.	.211	.025 -	.056 -	.179	.085	.187
			~	1.000	043	.063	890.	.091	.133	.139	087	.054	.391	.138 -	.185	.102	.171	.315
				1.	1.000 -	054	890.	- 196 -	213 -	145	.327	- 083 -	137 -	051	.126 -	185 -	- 860	077
					•	.1000	.074	.161	.109	.074	035	.092	- 620.	- 1064 -	050	.015	140	920.
						П	1.000	119	.054 -	151	.049	030	.049	.173 -	103	.001	- 0000	.094
								1.000	080	.145 -	216	.154	.132 -	- 040 -	015	.012	.134	.323
								, ,	1.000	. 268	.003	020	.162	.124 -	109	.515	.185	.095
										1.000	115	038	. 207	.040	221	. 298	.208	.212
											1.000	170 -	112	900.	.133	.011 -	255 -	.195
												1.000 -	860	.040	001 -	- 090	037	.124
												7	1.000	.201 -	150	.126	.234	.385
													Н	1.000 -	101	.153	.167	.073
														-	1.000 -	043 -	. 151 -	.024
															П	1.000	.185	.020
																Η	1.000	.194
																	П	1.000

Correlation Matrix of 19 Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Five

(SCAT) 20	.307	.166	.173	062	.037	.286	147	.084	044	.305	.157	.262	-,170	.138	.398	.119	-,116	.158	.199	1.000
19	.414	.100	.149	.046	-,095	,162	033	-,141	017	191	.165	.181	221	.047	.236	.145	076	.182	1,000	
18	.282	.091	020	126	116	.122	237	.095	024	.045	.504	.319	900*	024	.183	.125	035	1,000		
17	037	110	.038	.175	107	-,209	.080	-,101	032	.084	075	-,110	.056	074	165	-,154	1.000			
16	-,055	.204	045	097	.072	.163	690*-	.014	.159	028	.123	.007	.055	.030	.221	1.000				
15	.085	.093	.001	081	.252	.381	-,159	.120	.097	.086	.165	.126	124	092	1,000					
14	052	036	.104	.041	.019	.033	063	.021	052	.197	.605	.031	211	1.000						
13	141	-,005	253	.025	083	071	.210	032	.056	227	.042	084	1,000							
12	.435	120	.067	119	038	.102	158	.019	960*-	191.	.272	1.000								
11	.242	.221	.022	-,181	094	.110	227	.121	.023	.103	1.000									
10	.227	071	.190	.016	013	.061	143	.057	084	1.000										
6	-,190	015	064	.036	.041	.139	.125	.029	1,000											
∞	.010	008	042	.001	.047	.047	026	1.000												
7	134	128	039	.171	034	053	1,000													
9	.112	.241	065	124	.148	1.000053														
rv	.070107	062	.038	026	1.000															
4	.070	081	042	1.000																
100	.234	.023	1.000042																	
2	.081	1,000																		
↔	1.000																			
Var.#	—	2	23	4	Ŋ	9	7	∞	රා 28	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Π 0.	20

Correlation Matrix of 19 Variables and SCAT Total Score for Grade Six

	(SCAT)	.260	.001	.214	048	.088	.230	095	.159	860	.322	.091	.201	194	.128	.415	.045	153	.129	.188	1.000
	19	.337	.089	060.	.074	070	,131	093	-,151	024	.163	.148	.211	202	980.	.192	.124	990	.146	1.000	
	18	.303	.115	.011	087	175	.130	184	. 088	012	.103	.502	.211	.015	004	.107	.120	037	1.000		
e SIX	17	.074	169	.012	.174	- 800	144	.030	112	.054	031	-,119	.025	.029	.114	170	124	1.000	, ,		
tor Grade	16	.033 -	. 288	011	114	.048	.110	083	- 600.	.109	- 200.	.125 -	049	990.	.047 -	.205 -	1.000 -	П			
	15	.032 -	.143	047 -	.092	.287	.405	122 -	.072	012	.138	.121	.041 -	.108	119	1.000	Н				
al score	14	.016	.031	.108 -	.044 -	037	.002	072 -	.039	050 -	.191	.053	.027	199 -	1.000 -	7					
Al lotal	13	.159 -	.016	260	001	- 490	690	.178 -	031	.044 -	269	.082	065	1.000 -	1						
and SCA1	12	.385 -	094	.016	053 -	025 -	.114 -	-,162	- 600.	.039	.193 -	.237	1.000 -	1							
	11	.282	.201 -	.031	- 186 -	112 -	.092	230 -	.142	004 -	.110	1.000	, -1								
is variables	10	.237	.031	.187	021	.031 -	.073	.121 -	.065	141 -	1.000	Ţ									
OI	6	.188	058	063	.050	. 003	. 027	890.	.013	1.000	1										
MALLIX	8	035	047	061	. 059	.024	.025	046	1.000	ij											
corretation Ma	7	202	206	035	.132	. 800.	106	1.000	H.												
	9	9/0.	.195	119	149	.185	1.000	r.													
	rV	. 660	.116	.007		1.000	ri H														
	4	.085	087	004	1.000003	H															
	8	. 239	.031 -	1.000 -	Н																
	2	960.	1.000	1																	
	\vdash	1.000	J.																		
	Var.#	1 1.	2	3	4	r2	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	•										29										

Table 11

Regression Weights and Proportion of Variance for Final Six Variables

	Grade 3	3	Grade 4	4	Grade 5	rv V	Grade 6	9
Variable #	ام	R ²	ام	\mathbb{R}^2	ام	R ²	ام	R2
·Η	1.36209	.0436	1.07103	.0157	2.81284	.0482	2.29709	.0360
3	0.50670	.0058	0.85350	0600.	0.93269	.0064	2.00196	.0223
∞	1.16289	.0187	0.11009	.0001	0.39713	.0007	1.91986	.0166
10	1.05143	.0338	2.52952	.0752	2.69472	.0737	2.59841	.0712
14	0.46047	.0061	1.27250	.0132	2.02520	.0187	1.82957	.0161
15	2.65726	.1902	3.54041	.1483	4.74750	.1583	5.22509	.1723
Additive Constant = 246.84853	246.84853		250.18968		253.99202		259.23291	

Table 12
Correction Factors to Add (+) or Subtract (-) to Obtain Differentiated School Norms

T-76	T24	F7.2	<u>ት</u> : //41	C241-
Differentiated	First Grade*	Third	Fifth Grade	Sixth
Profile		Grade		Grade
000000	+39	+36	+39	+41
000001	+33	+27	+37	+35
000010	+33	+33	+31	+35
000011	+26	+22	+29	+27
000100	+34	+32	+36	+34
000101	+27	+22	+34	+26
000110	+27	+28	+27	+27
000111	+19	+16	+25	+16
000111				
001000	+29	+25	+28	+33
001001	+21	+12	+25	+25
001010	+21	+20	+17	+25
001011	+12	+06	+14	+15
001100	+22	+19	+23	+24
001101	+14	+06	+21	+14
001110	+13	+14	+11	+14
001111	+04	00	+09	+02
001111	• 1			7.
010000	+29	+28	+28	+32
010001	+22	+16	+26	+23
010010	+21	+23	+17	+24
010011	+13	+10	+15	+13
010100	+23	+23	+23	+23
010101	+14	+09	+21	+12
010110	+14	+18	+12	+12
010111	+05	+04	+09	+01
011000	+16	+13	+13	+21
011001	+06	-01	+10	+10
011010	+06	+08	00	+11
011011	-03	-07	~02	-01
011100	+08	+07	+07	+10
011101	-02	-07	+04	-02
011110	-02	+02	-06	-02
011111	-11	-13	-08	-13
100000	+15	+10	+17	+19
100001	+06	-04	+15	+08
100010	+06	+05	÷05	+09
100011	+04	-10	+02	-03
100100	+08	+04	+12	+08
100101	-02	-10	+09	-04
100110	-02	-02	-01	-04
100111	-11	-16	-03	-15
~~~~	— <del>-</del>	_ <del>v</del>		

^{*}First Grade correction factors are estimates; averages from grades three, five, and six.

Table 12 (Continued)

Differentiated Profile	First Grade*	Third Grade	Fifth Grade	Sixth Grade
101000	00	-06	00	+06
101001	-10	-20	-03	-06
101010	-10	-12	-13	-06
101011	<b>-</b> 19	-25	-15	-17
101100	-08	-13	-06	-07
101101	-17	-25	-09	-18
101110	-18	-18	-18	-17
101111	-26	-30	-21	-27
110000	+01	-03	+01	+04
110001	-09	-17	-02	-08
110010	-09	-08	-12	-07
110011	-18	-22	-14	-19
110100	-07	-09	-05	-08
110101	-17	-22	-08	-20
110110	-17	-14	-17	-19
110111	-25	-27	-20	-29
111000	-15	-19	-17	-10
111001	-23	-30	-19	-21
111010	-24	-24	-27	-21
111011	-31	-34	-29	-30
111100	-23	-24	-22	-22
111101	-30	-34	-24	-31
111111	-36	-37	-33	-38

^{*} First Grade correction factors are estimates; averages from grades three, five, and six.

		3	4	5	6
Individual	Student	·			
Mean:	Verbal	241.8000	248.7345	254.8044	260.9343
	Math	249.8281	256.4072	263.4368	271.4523
·	Total	250.3425	255.7669	261.5400	267.6915
SD:	Verbal	10.1639	12.1162	13.8671	14.5464
	Math	7.4713	10.1805	13.2120	15.4882
	Total	6.0593	8.5687	11.2593	12.2945
School		·	·		
SD:	Verba1	5.2201	6.2904	7.2478	7.2036
	Math	3.6580	5.0432	6,9926	7.6283
	Total	3.2108	4.5504	6.2408	6.3915

NOTE: School means are the same as the Individual Student Means

Table 14

Individual and School Means and Estimated* School Standard Deviations for CTBS

		ν.		147.45		147.45	24.21
	9	2		200.72		200.72	26.52
	ìΛ	7		178.83		178.83	26.55
Grade		2		149.27		149.27	24.16
	4	П		214.64		214.64	29.72
	3	1		Mean: 175.95		175.95	28.51
		Leve1	Individua1	Mean:	School	Mean:	Standard Deviation: 28.51

Estimated at each level from data supplied by CTB/McGraw - Hill. Unfortunately the sample size at these levels was rather small, varying from approximately 40 to 90 schools at any one level. *

 ${\small \mbox{Table 15}}$  Differences in \$C\$TB\$ and \$E\$TS Percentile Corrections for Each Profile

Grade

Profile	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4		5	·	6	<del></del>
000000	.06	(3)	.00	(4)	.09	(1)	04	(3)
000001	.22	(1)	.11	(1)	.12	(1)	.14	(1)
000010	02	(3)	.00	(1)	.06	(9)	.01	(11)
000011	10	(2)	43	(3)	45	(2)	19	(2)
000100	02	(1)	.10	((1)	17	(1)	10	(1)
000101								
000110	22	(1)	.22	(2)	.20	(1)	.22	(2)
000111								
001000								
001001	-		47	(1)	46	(1)	45	(1)
001010	05	(2)	01	(2)			.13	(1)
001011								
001100								
001101								
001110								
001111								
010000		1						
010001	.23	(1)	. 22	(1)			.21	(1)
010010	. 25	(1)	.15	(2)	.09	(2)	.12	(1)
010011	.07 (	(1)	16	(1)				
010100								
010101								
010110	.17 (	(4)	.19	(5)	.10	(3)	.20	(4)

^{*}Numbers in parantheses indicate number of schools in CTB data.

(Table 15 continued)

Profile	3	4	.2	6
010111	.32 (1)	.42 (1)	.21 (1)	
011000	02 (2)	06 (2)	09 (1)	- <b>.</b> 32 (2)
011001				
011010	005 (5)	02 (7)	.01 (2)	<b>31</b> (7)
011011	.00 (2)	<b></b> 25 (6)	07 (3)	13 (5)
011100				
011101				
011110	27 (1)			:
011111	.14 (2)	07 (2)	10 (1)	+ .06 (1)
100000				
100001				
100010	.17 (1)	<b></b> 16 (3)	01 (3)	11 (2)
100011		.26 (1)	.07 (1)	03 (1)
100100				
100101				
100110		18 (1)	25 (1)	22 (1)
100111				
101000		31 (1)	.03 (1)	
101001	.22 (2)	<b></b> 13 (3)	36 (1)	24 (1)
101010	29 (1)	10 (2)	+ .43 (1)	04 (2)
101011	.00 (2)	18 (2)	+ .20 (1)	.09 (1)
101100				
101101				
101110	.28 (1)	17 (2)		10 (1)
101111				
110000	.15 (2)	+ .10 (3)	22 (2)	17 (2)
110001	.24 (3)	07 (3)	07 (3)	

(lable 15 continued)

Profile	3	4	5	6
110010	13 (10)	.04 (13)	12 (8)	<b>04</b> (7)
110011	.04 (6)	12 (4)	14 (4)	12 (1)
110100		19 (1)	25 (1)	20 (1)
110101				
110110	.08 (2)	+ .52 (1)	02 (2)	.44 (2)
110111	18 (1)	13 (1)	21 (1)	09 (1)
111000	.00 (4)	10 (6)	11 (3)	17 (5)
111001	.08 (1)	01 (2)	12 (1)	01 (2)
111010	- <b>.</b> 07 (7)	+ .03 (6)	+ .08 (4)	+ .07 (9)
111011	.19 (1)	+ .13 (4)	<pre>07 (2)</pre>	+ .05 (4)
111100	.37 (1)	02 (4)	+ .32 (1)	04 (1)
111101				
111110	07 (2)	07 (2)	04 (1)	10 (2)
111111		03 (2)	+ .07 (2)	+ .06 (1)
Total Difference	ce 10.48(80)	11.93(106)	12.38(73)	12.67(90)
Average Differe	ence .131	.112	.16	.14

Table 16
Estimated Deviation Ratios for Four Grade Levels and Forty-One Goal Areas

1. Temperament - Personal 2. Temperament - Social 3. Attitudes 47	Gr. 6
2. Temperament - Social	.54
4. Needs and Interests	.54
5. Valuing Arts and Crafts 5. Valuing Arts and Crafts 6. Producing Arts and Crafts 7. Understanding Arts and Crafts 8. Reasoning 9. Creativity 48. 48. 48. 45 9. Creativity 48. 48. 48. 45 10. Memory 11. Foreign Language Skills 12. Foreign Language Assimilation 13. Language Construction 14. Reference Skills 14. Reference Skills 15. Arithmetic Concepts 16. Arithmetic Operations 17. Mathematical Applications 18. Geometry 19. Measurement 19. Measurement 19. Music Appreciation and Interest 20. Music Appreciation and Interest 21. Music Performance 22. Music Understanding 23. Health and Safety 24. Physical Skills 25. Sportsmanship 26. Physical Education 27. Oral-Aural Skills 28. Word Recognition 29. Reading Mechanics 30. Reading Comprehension 31. Reading Interpretation 32. Reading Appreciation and Response 34. 44. 44. 45. 46. 44. 47. 46. 44. 47. 46. 44. 47. 46. 44. 47. 46. 44. 47. 46. 44. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46.	.54
6. Producing Arts and Crafts 6. Producing Arts and Crafts 7. Understanding Arts and Crafts 8. Reasoning 9. Creativity 48. 48. 48 9. Creativity 48. 48. 48 45 10. Memory 48. 48. 48 45 11. Foreign Language Skills 47. 46 44 12. Foreign Language Assimilation 47. 46 48. 44 18. Language Construction 48. 48 49. 45 11. Foreign Language Assimilation 47. 46 48 18. Language Construction 49. 46 40 41 41. Language Construction 40 41. Reference Skills 41. Anithmetic Concepts 42 43 44 45 45 47 48 48 44 45 45 47 48 48 44 45 40 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 42 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 45 46 44 47 48 48 47 49 48 48 47 49 48 48 47 49 48 48 47 49 48 48 47 48 48 48 47 48 48 47 48 48 48 48 48 49 49 49 49 49 40 40 40 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	.54
7. Understanding Arts and Crafts	.54 .54
8. Reasoning	
9. Creativity	.54
10. Memory 11. Foreign Language Skills 12. Foreign Language Assimilation 13. Language Construction 146 147 14. Reference Skills 15. Arithmetic Concepts 16. Arithmetic Operations 17. Mathematical Applications 18. Geometry 19. Measurement 19. Measurement 19. Music Appreciation and Interest 19. Music Performance 19. Music Understanding 19. Health and Safety 19. Health and Safety 19. Hysical Skills 19. Hysical Skills 19. Arithmetic Understanding 19. Hysical Skills 19. Health and Safety 19. Measurement 19. Music Understanding 19. Health and Safety 1	.58
11. Foreign Language Skills	.58
12. Foreign Language Assimilation .47 .46 .44 13. Language Construction .46 .45 .47 14. Reference Skills .48 .44 .50 15. Arithmetic Concepts .51 .49 .51 16. Arithmetic Operations .51 .47 .55 17. Mathematical Applications .44 .41 .41 .41 18. Geometry .48 .47 .49 19. Measurement .47 .48 .47 .49 10. Music Appreciation and Interest .47 .46 .44 21. Music Performance .47 .46 .44 22. Music Understanding .47 .46 .44 23. Health and Safety .47 .46 .44 24. Physical Skills .47 .46 .44 25. Sportsmanship .47 .46 .44 26. Physical Education .47 .46 .44 27. Oral-Aural Skills .47 .46 .44 28. Word Recognition .47 .46 .42 29. Reading Mechanics .47 .46 .42 29. Reading Mechanics .47 .46 .42 30. Reading Comprehension .48 .46 .47 31. Reading Interpretation .46 .45 .38 32. Reading Appreciation and Response .47 .46 .42 33. Religious Knowledge .47 .46 .44 34. Religious Belief .47 .46 .44 34. Religious Belief .47 .46 .44	.58
13. Language Construction	.54
14. Reference Skills	.54
15. Arithmetic Concepts 16. Arithmetic Concepts 17. Mathematical Applications 18. Geometry 19. Measurement 19. Measurement 19. Music Appreciation and Interest 10. Music Performance 10. Music Understanding 10. Arithmetic Concepts 10. Music Understanding 10. Arithmetic Concepts 10. Music Understanding 10. Music Understanding 10. Arithmetic Understanding 10. Arithmet	.47
16. Arithmetic Operations 17. Mathematical Applications 18. Geometry 19. Measurement 19. Measurement 19. Music Appreciation and Interest 10. Music Performance 10. Music Performance 10. Music Understanding 10. Music Performance 10. Music P	.52
17. Mathematical Applications .44 .41 .41 .41 .48 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49	.53
18. Geometry 19. Measurement 20. Music Appreciation and Interest 21. Music Performance 22. Music Understanding 23. Health and Safety 24. Physical Skills 25. Sportsmanship 26. Physical Education 27. Oral-Aural Skills 28. Word Recognition 29. Reading Mechanics 30. Reading Comprehension 31. Reading Interpretation 32. Reading Appreciation and Response 34. Religious Belief 347 348 34. 48 347 348 347 348 347 348 347 348 347 348 347 348 348 347 348 348 347 348 348 347 348 348 347 348 348 349 348 348 349 348 349 348 349 348 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 348 349 349 348 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 348 349 349 349 348 349 349 349 348 349 349 349 349 349 348 349 349 349 348 349 349 349 349 348 349 349 349 349 349 349 349 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340	.53
19. Measurement	.53
20. Music Appreciation and Interest       .47       .46       .44         21. Music Performance       .47       .46       .44         22. Music Understanding       .47       .46       .44         23. Health and Safety       .47       .46       .44         24. Physical Skills       .47       .46       .44         25. Sportsmanship       .47       .46       .44         26. Physical Education       .47       .46       .44         27. Oral-Aural Skills       .47       .46       .42         28. Word Recognition       .47       .46       .42         29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.52
21. Music Performance       .47       .46       .44         22. Music Understanding       .47       .46       .44         23. Health and Safety       .47       .46       .44         24. Physical Skills       .47       .46       .44         25. Sportsmanship       .47       .46       .44         26. Physical Education       .47       .46       .44         27. Oral-Aural Skills       .47       .46       .42         28. Word Recognition       .47       .46       .42         29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.48
21. Music Understanding       .47       .46       .44         23. Health and Safety       .47       .46       .44         24. Physical Skills       .47       .46       .44         25. Sportsmanship       .47       .46       .44         26. Physical Education       .47       .46       .44         27. Oral-Aural Skills       .47       .46       .42         28. Word Recognition       .47       .46       .42         29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.54
23. Health and Safety       .47       .46       .44         24. Physical Skills       .47       .46       .44         25. Sportsmanship       .47       .46       .44         26. Physical Education       .47       .46       .44         27. Oral-Aural Skills       .47       .46       .42         28. Word Recognition       .47       .46       .42         29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.54
24. Physical Skills       .47       .46       .44         25. Sportsmanship       .47       .46       .44         26. Physical Education       .47       .46       .44         27. Oral-Aural Skills       .47       .46       .42         28. Word Recognition       .47       .46       .42         29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.54
25. Sportsmanship .47 .46 .44 26. Physical Education .47 .46 .44 27. Oral-Aural Skills .47 .46 .42 28. Word Recognition .47 .46 .42 29. Reading Mechanics .47 .46 .42 30. Reading Comprehension .48 .46 .47 31. Reading Interpretation .46 .45 .38 32. Reading Appreciation and Response .47 .46 .42 33. Religious Knowledge .47 .46 .44 34. Religious Belief .47 .46 .44	. 54
26. Physical Education .47 .46 .44 27. Oral-Aural Skills .47 .46 .42 28. Word Recognition .47 .46 .42 29. Reading Mechanics .47 .46 .42 30. Reading Comprehension .48 .46 .47 31. Reading Interpretation .46 .45 .38 32. Reading Appreciation and Response .47 .46 .42 33. Religious Knowledge .47 .46 .44 34. Religious Belief .47 .46 .44	. 54
27. Oral-Aural Skills       .47       .46       .42         28. Word Recognition       .47       .46       .42         29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.54
28. Word Recognition       .47       .46       .42         29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.54
29. Reading Mechanics       .47       .46       .42         30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.56
30. Reading Comprehension       .48       .46       .47         31. Reading Interpretation       .46       .45       .38         32. Reading Appreciation and Response       .47       .46       .42         33. Religious Knowledge       .47       .46       .44         34. Religious Belief       .47       .46       .44	.56
31. Reading Interpretation .46 .45 .38 32. Reading Appreciation and Response .47 .46 .42 33. Religious Knowledge .47 .46 .44 34. Religious Belief .47 .46 .44	.56
32. Reading Appreciation and Response .47 .46 .42 .43. Religious Knowledge .47 .46 .44 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .48 .48 .48 .48 .48 .48 .48 .48 .48 .48	.52
32. Reading Appreciation and Response .47 .46 .42 .43 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .46 .44 .47 .48 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49 .49	.60
33. Religious Knowledge 34. Religious Belief 34. Religious Belief 35. Religious Knowledge 36. 47. 46. 44. 46. 44. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 46. 47. 47. 47. 47. 47. 47. 47. 47. 47. 47	.56
34. Religious Belief .47 .46 .44	.54
	.54
	.49
36. Scientific Knowledge .44 .45 .38	.49
37. Scientific Approach .44 .45 .38	.49
38. History and Civics .47 .44 .43	.57
39. Geography .47 .44 .43	.57
40. Sociology .46 .45 .3/	.63
41. Application of Social Studies .48 .42 .49	.51

Table 17

School Norm Estimated from Percentile Mean Pupil Score and Deviation Ratio for Goal.Area

63	01 02 02 03	03 04 05 06 07	08 09 10 12 13	15 17 19 21 24	26 29 32 38 38	41 44 47 50 53 56 59
62	00 01 02 03 03	03 04 05 05 06	07 09 10 11	15 17 19 21 23	26 29 31 34 37	40 44 47 50 53 56 60
6.1	00 01 02 02 02	03 04 05 05	07 08 09 11 13	14 16 18 21 23	26 28 31 34 37	40 43 47 50 53 57 60
09	00 01 02 02 02	03 03 04 05	07 08 09 11	14 16 18 20 23	25 28 31 37 37	443 473 50 573 60
7.0	00 00 01 02 02	03 03 04 05	06 07 09 10	14 15 18 20 22	25 28 31 37	40 43 47 50 53 57 60
87.	000 001 002 002	02 03 04 05	06 07 08 10 11	13 15 17 19 22	25 27 30 33 36	40 43 47 50 53 57 60
5.7	00 00 01 02 02	02 03 03 04	06 07 08 09 11	13 15 17 19	24 27 30 33 36	40 43 46 50 57 57 60
5,6	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	02 02 03 04	05 06 08 09 11	12 14 16 19 21	24 27 30 33 36	39 43 46 50 57 57
5.5		02 02 03 03	05 06 07 09	12 14 16 18	23 26 29 32 36	39 45 46 50 57 61
2	000 000 000 000	02 02 03 04	05 06 07 08 10	11 13 15 18 20	23 26 29 32 36	39 45 46 50 57 57
5.3	00000	01 02 02 03	04 05 07 08 09	11 13 15 17 20	23 29 32 35	39 45 46 50 57 57
57	00000	01 02 02 03	04 05 06 07 09	11 12 15 17	22 28 28 32 35	39 42 46 50 54 58 61
17	00000	01 02 02 02 03	04 05 06 07	10 12 14 16	22 25 28 31 35	38 46 46 50 54 58 62
C	8 8888	00 01 02 02 03	04 04 05 07	10 12 14 16 18	21 24 27 31 34	38 42 46 50 54 58 62
ijo Ag	00000	00 01 02 02 03	03 04 05 06	09 11 13 16	21 24 27 30 34	38 42 46 46 50 54 58 62
eviation Ration	000	00 00 01 02	03 04 05 06	09 11 13 15	21 23 27 30 34	38 42 46 50 50 54 58 62
atio	000000	00 00 01 02	03 04 04 06	08 10 12 14	20 23 26 30 33	37 42 46 50 50 54 58 63
Devia 16	00000	00 00 01 02	03 03 04 05	08 10 12 14	19 22 26 29 33	37 41 46 50 54 59 63
1		00 00 01 02	02 03 04 05	07 09 11 13	19 22 25 25 29 33	37 41 46 50 54 59 63
	100000	00 00 01 02	02 03 04 06	07 09 11 13	18 22 25 28 32	37 41 45 50 55 59 63
2 4		00 00 00 01	02 02 03 04 05	07 08 10 12 15	18 21 24 28 32	36 41 45 50 55 59 64
5	000	000	02 02 03 04 05	06 08 10 14	17 20 24 28 32	36 41 45 50 55 59 64
-	1 00000	00000	01 02 03 04	06 07 09 11 14	16 20 23 27 27 31	36 40 45 50 50 60 64
5	000000	000	01 02 03 04	05 07 08 11 13	16 19 23 27 27 31	35 40 45 50 55 60 65
6	00000	00000	00 01 03 04	05 06 08 10 12	15 18 22 26 30	35 40 45 50 50 60 65
6	000000	000	00 01 02 02 03	04 06 07 09 12	15 18 22 26 30	35 40 45 50 55 60 65
1	00000	00000	00 02 03 03	04 05 07 09 11	14 17 21 25 29	34 39 45 50 50 61 66
Individual	08 09 10 11 12	13 14 15 17	18 20 21 23 24	26 27 29 31 33	34 36 38 40 42	44 48 48 50 54 56
1 1 2	<b>-</b> 4			39		

School Norm Estimated from Percentile Mean Pupil Score and Deviation Ratio for Goal Area Table 17 (continued)

63	62 65 68 71 74	76 79 81 83 85	87 88 90 91	93 94 95 96 97	97 98 98 99
62	63 66 69 71 74	77 79 81 83 85	87 89 90 91	94 95 95 96 97	97 98 98 99 100
61	63 66 69 72 74	77 79 82 84 86	87 89 91 92 93	94 95 96 96 97	98 98 98 99 100
09	63 66 69 72 75	77 80 82 84 86	88 89 91 92 93	94 95 96 97 97	98 98 98 99
59	63 66 69 72 75	78 80 85 85 86	88 90 91 93	95 95 96 97	98 98 99 100 100
28	64 67 70 73 75	78 81 83 85 87	89 90 92 93	95 96 96 97 98	98 98 99 100 100
57	64 67 70 73 76	78 81 83 85 87	89 91 92 93	95 96 97 97 98	98 98 99 100
26	64 67 70 73 76	79 81 84 86 88	89 91 92 94 95	96 96 97 98 98	98 99 100 100
55	64 68 71 74	79 82 84 86 88	90 91 93 94	96 97 98 98	99 100 100 100
54	64 68 71 74	80 82 85 89	90 92 93 94 95	96 97 98 98	99 100 100 100
53	65 68 71 75	80 83 87 89	91 92 93 95	96 97 98 98	100 100 100 100
52	65 68 72 75 78	83 83 83 83 83	91 93 94 95	97 97 98 98	100 100 100 100
51	65 69 72 75 78	81 84 86 88 90	92 93 94 95	97 98 98 99	100 100 100 100
20	66 69 73 76 79	82 84 86 88 90	92 93 95 96	97 98 98 99 100	100 100 100 100
tio 49	66 70 73 76 79	82 84 87 89 91	92 94 95 96 97	97 98 98 99 100	100 100 100 100
n Ra 48	66 70 73 77 79	82 85 87 89 91	93 94 95 96 97	98 98 99 100 100	100 100 100 100
atio 47	67 70 74 77 80	83 86 88 90 92	93 94 96 96	98 98 99 100 100	100 100 100 100
Deviation Rat 46 47 48	67 71 74 78 81	84 86 88 90 92	94 95 96 97	98 99 100 100	100 100 100 100
45	67 71 75 78 81	84 87 89 91	94 95 96 97 98	98 99 100 100	100 100 100 100
44	68 72 75 78 82	85 87 89 91 93	94 96 97 97	98 99 100 100	100 100 100 100
43	68 72 76 79 82	85 88 90 92 93	95 96 97 98 98	99 100 100 100	100 100 100 100
42	68 72 76 80 83	86 88 90 92 94	95 96 97 98 98	99 100 100 100	100 100 100 100
41	69 73 77 80 84	86 89 91 93	96 97 98 98	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100
40	69 73 77 81 84	87 89 92 93 95	96 97 98 98 99	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100
39	70 74 78 82 85	88 90 92 94 95	96 97 98 99 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100
38	70 74 78 82 85	88 81 93 94 96	97 98 98 99 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100
37	71 75 79 83 83	89 91 93 95	97 98 98 99 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100
Individual Percentile	58 60 62 64 64	67 69 71 73	76 77 79 80 82	83 84 85 87	88 89 90 91

### Table 18

# Questions for Determining Your Differentiated Profile

a. About what percentage of the pupils served by your of the categories below (the total should equal 100)	school fall into each %):
Children of professionals (doctors, lawyers, eng managers (executives, etc.), or white-collar work prietors, salesmen, clerks, etc.)	ineers, etc.), kers (pro-
Children of skilled workers (electricians, carper men, factory workers, etc.) or unskilled workers janitors, dishwashers, etc.)	nters, repair (laborers,
If the first entry is equal to or greater the in the circle at the left; otherwise put a	han 30% put a "1" "0" in the circle.
b. About what percentage of the students in your school percent.	l are white?
If your answer is 90% or more, put a "1" in left; otherwise put a "0" in the circle.	the circle at the
c. What are the first three digits of your school's ZI	P code?
If your numbers are from 010-196; 430-588; in the circle at the left; otherwise put a	or 600-693 put a "1" "0" in the circle.
d. About what percentage of the students who attended are no longer attending your school (do not count tated or are being bussed to other schools)?	your school last year hose who have gradu- percent.
If your answer is 4% or less, put a "1" in left, otherwise put a "0" in the circle.	the circle at the
e. About what percentage of the students in your schoo other than English outside of school or come from hanguage other than English is spoken most of the t	omes in which a
If your answer is 2% or less, put a "1" in left; otherwise put a "0" in the circle.	the circle at the
f. Is formal approval requested from your schools' tea educational programs (e.g., team teaching, new curr classrooms, tracking, resource rooms, etc.) in your	icula, ungraded
If your answer is "yes", put a "1" in the c otherwise put a "0" in the circle.	ircle at the left;
The numbers, in order, written in the circles above are This is your school's Differentiated Profile.	

#### Appendix A

#### SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS QUESTIONNAIRE

ruc,	ress		
Sch	ool Name		School ZIP Code
1.	How many students are enrolled in your school at each of the following grade levels:	5.	Which <u>one</u> of the following categories best describes the neighborhood served by your school?
	K         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8	<b>*</b> 6.	a. rural area  b. residential suburb  c. industrial suburb  d. small town (5,000 or les  e. city of 5,000 to 50,000  f. residential area of a  large city (50,000+)  g. inner part of a large  city (50,000+)  About what percentage of students in your school have mothers who are employed outside of the home?
2.	About what percentage of the stu-	٠	%
<b>4.</b>	dents who attended your school last year are no longer attending your school (do not count those who have graduated or are being bussed to other schools)?  How old is the main classroom	7.	From which of the following groups (check all that apply) is formal approval required to initi ate new education programs in your school (e.g., team teaching, new curricula, ungraded classrooms, tracking, resource rooms, etc.)?
٥,	building of your school plant? years old.		Board of Education Superintendent District administration other than Superintendent
4.	About what percent of the families of your students are represented at a typical meeting of the PTA or similar parent group?	·	Parents Teachers No formal approval needed
	%	8.	What is the copyright date of the regular class reading book used in your third grade?

^{*}See accompanying sheet for optional estimation procedures

## Appendix B

Coding of the School Characteristics Questionnaire for ETS Data.

General Instruction: If a response is blank or ?, code as blank.

COLUMNS	INFORMATION	
	First Card	
1-7	ZIP code	
8	a ''1''	
9-10	blank	
11-13	No. of students in grade 3, if it is checked	
14-16	No. of students in grade 4, if it is checked	
17-19	No. of students in grade 5, if it is checked	
20-22	No. of students in grade 6, if it is checked	
23-25	No. of students in grade 7, if it is checked	
26-28	No. of students in grade 8, if it is checked	
29-30	Question 2 (2 digits; round to whole number)	
31-32	Question 3 (2 digits; round to whole number) (If age is >99 years, put 99)	
33-34	Question 4 (2 digits; round to whole number)	
	Question 5	
35	1 if 5a is checked; blank otherwise	
36	1 if 5b is checked; blank otherwise	
37	1 if 5c is checked; blank otherwise	
38	1 if 5d is checked; blank otherwise	
39	1 if 5e is checked; blank otherwise	
40	1 if 5f is checked; blank otherwise	
41	1 if 5g is checked; blank otherwise	
42-43	Question 6 (2 digits; round to whole number)	
	Question 7	
44	1 if Board of Education is checked; blank otherwise	
45	1 if Superintendent is checked; blank otherwi	Lse
46	1 if District Administration is checked; blar otherwise	ık
47	1 if Parents is checked; blank otherwise	
48	1 if Teachers is checked; blank otherwise	
49	1 if No formal approval; blank otherwise	
50	Total number of checks, <u>not</u> counting 'No formal approval'	

51-52	Question 8 (last 2 digits of year; if year is 1966, put 66; if no year, leave blank)
53-54	Question 9 (2 digits; round to whole number)
55-57	Question 10 (3 digits; salary in hundreds of dollars; e.g., \$9000 = 90, \$15100 = 151; i.e., drop the last 2 numbers, rounding off if necessary).
58-59	Question 11 (2 digits; round to whole number)
60-61	Question 12 (2 digits; round to whole number)
62-63	Question 13 (2 digits; round to whole number)
	Question 14
64-65	Professional managers (2 digits; round to whole number)
66-67	White Collar (2 digits; round to whole number)
68-69	Skilled Worker (2 digits; round to whole number)
70-71	Unskilled Worker (2 digits; round to whole number)
72-74	Question 15 (3 digits; No. of volumes in hundreds; e.g., 3700 = 37; 900 = 9; 11,400 = 114; i.e., drop last 2 numbers rounding off if necessary)
75-76	Question 16 (2 digits; round to whole number)
77-79	Question 17 (3 digits; salary in hundreds of dollars; same as in Question 10)
Second Card	
1- 7	ZIP code
1- 7	ZIP code a ''2''
<del>-</del> '	
8	a ''2''
8	a ''2'' blank
8 9-10	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per
8 9-10 11-13	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)
8 9-10 11-13 14-16	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)
8 9-10 11-13 14-16 17-19	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)
8 9-10 11-13 14-16 17-19 20-22	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Nurse (3 digits, # of hours per week)
8 9-10 11-13 14-16 17-19 20-22 23-25	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Nurse (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Speech Therapist (3 digits, # hours per week)
8 9-10 11-13 14-16 17-19 20-22 23-25 26-28	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Nurse (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Speech Therapist (3 digits, # hours per week)  Remedial Reading (3 digits, # of hours per week)  English-Second-Language (3 digits, # of hours
8 9-10  11-13  14-16 17-19 20-22 23-25 26-28 29-31	a "2" blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Nurse (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Speech Therapist (3 digits, # hours per week)  Remedial Reading (3 digits, # of hours per week)  English-Second-Language (3 digits, # of hours per week)
8 9-10  11-13  14-16 17-19 20-22 23-25 26-28 29-31	blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Nurse (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Speech Therapist (3 digits, # hours per week)  Remedial Reading (3 digits, # of hours per week)  English-Second-Language (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Art Teacher (3 digits, # of hours per week)
8 9-10  11-13  14-16 17-19 20-22 23-25 26-28 29-31  32-34 35-37	blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Nurse (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Speech Therapist (3 digits, # hours per week)  Remedial Reading (3 digits, # of hours per week)  English-Second-Language (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Art Teacher (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Music Teacher (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Sex Education (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Librarian (3 digits, # of hours per week)
8 9-10  11-13  14-16 17-19 20-22 23-25 26-28 29-31  32-34 35-37 38-40	blank  Question 18  Guidance Counselor (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Psychologist (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Child Welfare (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Nurse (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Speech Therapist (3 digits, # hours per week)  Remedial Reading (3 digits, # of hours per week)  English-Second-Language (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Art Teacher (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Music Teacher (3 digits, # of hours per week)  Sex Education (3 digits, # of hours per week)

#### Coding of Achievement Data Received from ETS

COLUMNS		INFORMATION
	Third Card	
1-7		ZIP code
8		the number "3"
9		grade (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8)
10		blank
11-15		SCAT-V mean (ignore the decimal point)
16-20		SCAT-M mean (ignore the decimal point)
21-25		SCAT-T mean (ignore the decimal point)
26-30		TEST-1 mean (ignore the decimal point)
31-35		TEST-2 mean (ignore the decimal point)
36-40		TEST-3 mean (ignore the decimal point)
41-45		TEST-4 mean (ignore the decimal point)
46-50		TEST-5 mean (ignore the decimal point)
51-55		TEST-6 mean (ignore the decimal point)
56-60		TEST-7 mean (ignore the decimal point)

(Note: each class will have only one or two means for tests 1-7. They are indicated under the headings STEP-1 and STEP-2 by the column labeled TEST. There are instances of tests 8 and 9, but ignore these.)